

REMARKS

The last Office Action has been carefully considered.

It is noted that claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Claims 6-14 are objected to because of a multiple dependency.

With the present Amendment applicants have amended the claims in compliance with the issues raised by the Examiner and also discussed during the interview with the Examiner.

The claims have been amended to define that the wiper strip 20 provided with the carrying rails 12 is held by a compression produced by the longitudinal web 26, as disclosed in the specification. The claims have been amended correspondingly.


The dependency of some dependent claims have been amended as well.

It is therefore believed that the Examiner's grounds for the formal rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 and objection under 37 CFR 1.75 (c) should be considered as no longer tenable and should be withdrawn.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal aspects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at (631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 27233